E-ISSN: 2664-603X
P-ISSN: 2664-6021
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.92
IJPSG 2026; 8(1): 32-39
www.journalofpoliticalscience.com
Received: 10-09-2025
Accepted: 12-10-2025

Dr. Manindra Singh Hanspal
Assistant Professor, School of Law, Presidency University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Dr. Bijayananda Behera
Dean & Principal, Lajpat Rai Law College, Sambalpur, Odisha, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Manindra Singh Hanspal
Assistant Professor, School of Law, Presidency University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Disasters in India: Human, Economic, and Social Impacts from 2000 to 2024: Challenges and Pathways to Resilience

Manindra Singh Hanspal and Bijayananda Behera

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26646021.2026.v8.i1a.830

Abstract
India has faced an increase in disaster events, which have led to various human, economic, and social impacts. In this paper, we describe the casualties, economic losses, and social implications of the recorded catastrophes, providing an overview of key catastrophic events during this period. The study is based upon national and global disaster databases to examine recurring patterns in the numbers of casualties, injuries, affected people, and economic losses. It underscores the vulnerability of certain areas and communities at risk while emphasizing how rural, poor, and marginalized groups are being differentially affected. The study also examines India's disaster management system by appraising the efficacy that lies in its response and recovery mechanisms. These insights illuminate major issues and challenges hindering disaster recovery and resilience, including resource limitations, suboptimal facilities for crisis response, and social disparity. The paper ends by sketching a strategy to further strengthen India's disaster preparedness and resilience, including improving early warning systems, constructing disaster-resilient infrastructure, empowering communities, and mainstreaming climate change adaptation in national policies. It provides actionable guidance for enhancing India's ability to reduce disaster risks, enabling a more effective response and sustainable recovery to occur when an event or development occurs. In the end, it highlights the need for proactive and multi-sectoral efforts to build a resilient India in the 21st century.

Keywords: Disasters, disaster preparedness, human and impact, resilience, vulnerability assessment

Introduction
India is considered one of the most disaster-prone countries in the world, with 27 out of its 36 states and Union Territories facing risk from hazards like floods, cyclones, earthquakes, and droughts (Ankalkoti & Yashodha, 24). Over the last three decades, the frequency of disasters has gone up five to six times in India and its economic costs too have multiplied, with rapid urbanization, environmental degradation and climate change driving this upward trajectory (Gangadhar, 2020) [17]. This escalatory disaster has devastated the lives of millions in terms of huge economic losses for the country and underscores the pressing requirement for strengthening our disaster management systems and legislations (Charak *et al*., 2024) [9]. The scope of a difference is illustrated by recent disasters. For example, in India the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami affected over 2.7 million people, and Cyclone Amphan of 2020 resulted in damages well above USD 13 billion in West Bengal and Odisha, leading to unprecedented loss that surpassed the local recovery capabilities. The losses in human life due to disasters are not small. Naturally, disasters have taken the lives of almost 11,000 people in 2016 alone across the world, and India has proved to be among the top five disaster-affected countries over the last decade (Jang *et al*., 2021) [16]. Multiple evictions, house demolitions, and livelihood disruptions have increased levels of poverty in conflict-torn areas like Bihar, Assam, and Andhra Pradesh (Dakua *et al*., 2023) [11]. After the COVID-19 outbreak, systemic weaknesses were further laid bare as India's GDP fell by 24% in the first quarter of 2020 and millions of migrant workers lost their jobs, highlighting the interconnection between health, economic, and social vulnerabilities.
The social impact of disasters is as massive in its effects. Survivors commonly suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder, and depression as well anxiety especially after the floods and

landslides in states such as Uttarakhand and Jammu & Kashmir (Patel *et al*., 2020) [29]. Such impacts disproportionately strike against the most vulnerable populations (the poor, women and children) with increased exposure to trauma, displacement companies by social upheavals. Despite this, India has undertaken important strides in disaster risk reduction. The creation of the NDMA in 2005 has been a game changer, improving preparedness, early warning processes and community mobilisation. Nevertheless, enduring shortfalls persist in the application, fair recovery and mainstreaming of risk-informed development within sectors. Building resilience now requires not only structural reforms and stronger institutions but also empowering local communities, advancing climate-adaptive planning, and developing financial protection mechanisms to cushion vulnerable groups (Panwar *et al*., 2022) [30].

Technological disasters, though less frequent, add to India's disaster burden. Industrial accidents, most infamously the 1984 Bhopal Gas Tragedy, highlight weaknesses in industrial safety frameworks (Rajan, 2023) [31]. Transportation-related disasters remain another major concern, with road accidents alone causing over 1.68 lakh (168,491) fatalities in 2022 (Press Information Bureau (PIB, 2024). These events, combined with natural hazards, illustrate the complex and compounding risks India faces. Socio-economic vulnerabilities exacerbate these risks further. Nearly 70% of India's population lives in disaster-prone regions, with coastal and floodplain communities suffering disproportionately. Rapid urbanization and infrastructure expansion have placed millions in high-risk zones, while climate change is projected to intensify the frequency and severity of disasters in the coming decades (IPCC, 2021).

Against this backdrop, the present paper provides a comprehensive analysis of disasters in India from 2000 to 2024. Its objectives are fourfold: (i) to assess the human impacts of disasters through casualties, injuries, and displacement, with attention to regional variations and vulnerable populations; (ii) to evaluate the economic toll, including infrastructure damage, livelihood losses, and recovery costs across sectors; (iii) to examine social impacts such as displacement patterns, migration trends, and psychosocial consequences; and (iv) to assess the effectiveness of India's disaster management frameworks and propose evidence-based pathways to resilience.

Methodology

Data Sources

This chapter primarily draws on the International Disaster Database (EM-DAT), which records global disaster events, including those in India, encompassing natural disasters (such as floods, cyclones, and earthquakes) and technological disasters (including industrial and transportation accidents). Supplementary data were obtained from government reports, including the National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA) and the Indian Meteorological Department (IMD), to capture region-specific information on human casualties, affected populations, and economic damages. Other understandings were from United Nations and World Bank documents, the peer literature, and cases of significant disasters.

Analytical Approach

The analysis focuses on

- **Human impacts:** loss of life, injuries, displacement and susceptibility of marginalized populations.
- **Economic effects:** direct and indirect damage in agriculture, construction and industry.
- **Patterns at regional level:** differences in disaster impact on Indian states.
- **Institutional and social response:** evaluation of community strength, government actions and mechanisms for recovery.

Descriptive statistics, comparative analysis and case studies were conducted to explore the impacts of disasters for the period 2000-2024. Economic losses were adjusted using World Bank deflators to allow for comparability in time.

Result and Discussion

Indian disasters 2000-2024 have been profound with real human and social costs. It is also necessary to look not only at the magnitude of things, but at the vulnerabilities of people. Rural communities, women, children, the elderly and migrant workers are among the vulnerable groups whose losses and suffering experience greater extremes on account of lacking infrastructure, preparedness or social safety nets. Rural communities frequently do not receive timely information or appropriate shelters, women and children are at higher risk of displacement and malnourishment, the elderly suffer from greater mortality rates due to mobility issues, and migrant labourers are particularly exposed to economic shocks and dislocation. For example, when floods hit Uttarakhand in 2013, there were large numbers of widows and orphans due to the tragedy, in cyclone Fani elderly mortality increased by insufficient provision for evacuation and shelter (Nirula, 2017) [28].

Human Impacts of Disasters in India (2000-2024)

Between 2000 and 2024, India experienced a series of catastrophic destructive disasters that caused extensive loss of human life. The remaining deaths and injuries have occurred during floods, cyclones and earthquakes, with regional differences demonstrating geographic exposure. The 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami led to deaths of close to 16,389 individuals in India alone (over 275,000 worldwide) with many of the casualties occurring in Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh and on the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (EM-DAT, 2000-2025). Other high-impact events include the 2001 Gujarat Earthquake (20,005 deaths), the 2013 Uttarakhand Floods (6,054 deaths), Cyclone Fani (2019), and Cyclone Amphan (2020), which together affected over 30 million people (EM-DAT, 2000-2025). Coastal states, such as Odisha, Andhra Pradesh, and West Bengal, remain highly vulnerable to cyclones, while Himalayan states, including Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh, face recurrent floods and landslides. Table 1 presents major disaster events in India between 2000 and 2024, highlighting associated deaths, affected populations, and regional distribution.

Table 1: Major Disaster Events: Deaths and Injuries (2000-2024)

Event Name	Total Deaths	Affected Population	Location	Year
2001 Gujarat Earthquake	20005	4.365 million	Gujarat, India	2001
2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami	16389	0.65 million	Tamil Nadu, Andaman	2004
2013 Uttarakhand Floods	6054	0.5 million.	Uttarakhand	2013
Cyclone Fani (2019)	50	13 million	Odisha, Bengal, and Andhra Pradesh	2019
Cyclone Amphan (2020)	90	18 million	West Bengal, Odisha	2020

Source: EM_DAT (2000-2025)

Psychosocial Impacts

Disasters inflict severe psychological consequences, with PTSD, depression, and anxiety commonly reported among survivors (Sönmez & Hocaoglu, 2023; Ridley *et al*., 2020; Bonanno *et al*., 2010) [33, 32, 5]. Family disruptions, loss of livelihoods, and prolonged displacement exacerbate these impacts. Following the 2001 Gujarat Earthquake, PTSD and trauma-related disorders surged, prompting community-based mental health programs led by the government and NGOs, though coverage remained limited. More recently, disaster management frameworks have begun incorporating psychological first aid and counselling, but access remains inadequate, especially in rural areas.

Economic and Infrastructure Impacts of Disasters in India (2000-2024)

The economic toll of disasters in India is enormous, with both direct and indirect costs affecting infrastructure, agriculture, and industry, as well as long-term productivity and livelihoods. According to EM-DAT (2025), natural disasters alone have caused economic damage exceeding USD 100 billion between 2000 and 2025, with floods, cyclones, and earthquakes contributing most significantly. Table 2 presents the estimated economic losses from major disaster events in India between 2000 and 2024, along with the affected populations and the primary sectors impacted.

Table 2: Total Economic Damage Across Major Events (2000-2024)

Disaster Incident Name	Year	Total Economic Loss (USD)	Affected Population	Primary Impacted Sectors
Gujarat Earthquake	2001	$5.2 billion	6.32 million.	Infrastructure, Industry
Indian Ocean Tsunami	2004	$8.1 billion	0.65 million	Infrastructure, Housing
Uttarakhand Floods	2013	$1.8 billion	0.5 million.	Infrastructure, Tourism
Cyclone Fani	2019	$8.1 billion	13 million	Agriculture, Infrastructure
Cyclone Amphan	2020	$13.2 billion	18 million	Agriculture, Infrastructure

Source: EM_DAT (2000-2025)

Sectoral Impact Analysis

- **Agriculture:** India's agriculture is highly vulnerable to floods, cyclones, and droughts. Cyclone Fani (2019) destroyed crops worth millions in Odisha, exacerbating food insecurity, while Cyclone Amphan (2020) damaged rice fields, banana crops, and aquaculture, affecting millions of farmers and driving up food prices (Mohanty *et al*., 2022) [27].
- **Infrastructure:** Infrastructure damage is among the costliest impacts. The 2001 Gujarat earthquake and the 2013 Uttarakhand floods destroyed roads, bridges, and housing, resulting in long-term rebuilding costs. The Gujarat earthquake, in particular, disrupted the regional economy for years.
- **Industry:** Disasters disrupt industrial production, as factories and businesses are either damaged or forced to close due to resource shortages (Cvetković *et al*., 2024) [8]. The 2013 Uttarakhand floods severely impacted local industries and tourism by severing key transportation links.
- **Regional Disparities:** Economic impacts are uneven across India. Coastal states such as Odisha, West Bengal, and Andhra Pradesh are more prone to cyclones, while north-western states like Gujarat and Rajasthan are more susceptible to earthquakes and droughts. The eastern and northern hilly states, including Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh, frequently experience floods and landslides, leading to significant agricultural and infrastructure losses (Mall *et al*., 2011) [26].

Recovery and Funding Challenges

Securing adequate funds for disaster recovery remains one of India's most pressing challenges. Although the government allocates resources through the National Disaster Response Fund (NDRF), large-scale disasters often demand recovery expenditures far beyond its capacity (Chakrabarti, 2012) [13]. For example, the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami required extensive international assistance to rebuild infrastructure and restore livelihoods in Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (NDMA).

- **Challenges in Securing Funds:** Despite its critical role, the NDRF is constrained in addressing long-term recovery needs, particularly following catastrophic events. India's multi-hazard vulnerability necessitates more resilient financial mechanisms. Furthermore, bureaucratic delays and coordination gaps between local and central agencies often hinder the mobilization of recovery resources.
- **Government and International Support:** To supplement domestic funding, India has sought assistance from international organizations, including the World Bank, Asian Development Bank, and the United Nations (Telford & Cosgrave, 2007). Following Cyclone Amphan (2020), for instance, UNDP and the World Bank supported efforts to restore livelihoods, rebuild infrastructure, and strengthen long-term recovery frameworks (World Bank, 2020).
- **Insurance Mechanisms:** Insurance has increasingly emerged as a vital instrument for post-disaster recovery, particularly in agriculture. Government-backed schemes and private insurance initiatives offer some protection, but coverage remains limited, especially for smallholder farmers and informal sector workers, who often bear the brunt of disaster-induced economic shocks.

Social and Institutional Implications

- **Displacement and Migration Patterns:** Disasters in India have triggered widespread displacement and migration, especially in urban settings, compounding social, economic, and infrastructural challenges (Chatterjee *et al*., 2020). Over the last two decades alone, many millions have been displaced by major events, such as the 2001 Gujarat earthquake, the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami, and, more recently in 2013, the Uttarakhand floods and Cyclone Fani in 2019 (Deshpande, 2022) [12].
- **Patterns of Displacement:** The pattern of displacement does vary by type of disaster; floods and cyclones generally induce temporary evacuation to emergency shelters (Das 2023) [10]. Earthquakes and droughts, meanwhile, tend to lead more permanently displaced populations especially in rural areas where homes and sources of income are significantly damaged or destroyed.
- **Impact of Internal Migration on Urban Infrastructure and Resources:** Towns and cities are saturated in the relocation of internally displaced populations putting even more strain on already overstressed infrastructure. Major cities like Kolkata, Chennai, Hyderabad and Mumbai have absorbed a large number of migrants displaced by natural disasters (Bhattacharyya, 2024 [4]. This influx adds additional strains for healthcare, sanitation, housing and education in many cases resulting between crowded informal settlements (UNHCR, 2020). Furthermore, overcrowding of jobs and resources can exacerbate social tensions and urban inequality (World Bank, 2018).

Community Resilience and Engagement

Local communities are at the core of disaster risk reduction (DRR) and supported response, as they bear the most direct consequences of disasters. Community resilience refers to the ability of people, their household, and their local communities to prepare for (and potentially avoid), withstand or recover from disaster. This resilience is fortified through the approach of community-based disaster management (CBDM), which encourages and enables individuals to actively participate in planning, preparedness, respectively recovery (Kristian & Ikhsan, 2024) [25].

Role of Local Communities in Disaster Risk Reduction and Response

In India, increased emphasis is being placed on local involvement in disaster governance. Programmes including NDMAs Community Based Disaster Management Programme (CBDMP) stress the role to be played through community action at the grass roots level in preparation and response to disaster. Local leaders and organizations are essential to the dissemination of early warnings, evacuations and response in disaster prone areas. Because local actors best understand their own natural environment, resources and vulnerabilities, they are the key to lessen impacts of disaster.

Examples of Community-Led Initiatives

Community-based methods have met with success. The Cyclone Shelter Management Programme in West Bengal exemplifies how local teams, in particular women, were capacitated to manage shelters and assist with the evacuation process during cyclones (Jaiswal *et al*., 2022; World Bank, 2020). Likewise, in the 2013 Uttarakhand floods ree-local volunteers had a significant impact on search and rescue activities in inaccessible areas where formal response was delayed (NDMA,2021). Post-disaster responses also show the power of a local CG. Two very important features of the post-tsunami rehousing process in Tamil Nadu are it had communities (supported by NGOs) taking the lead role to address recovery needs like rebuilding houses, reviving livelihoods and integrating socially excluded groups into the mainstream. These measures facilitated early recovery and improved overall resilience at the community level.

Government and Institutional Response Assessment

Disaster response in India has now changed radically and is institutionalized. The Year 2005 saw a new horizon at the policy level for emergency care as we look forward to the enactment of Disaster Management Act (DM Act) that culminated in establishment of National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA), an apex body tasked to monitor preparedness, mitigation and response on a national scale. The National Institute of Disaster Management (NIDM) was subsequently created to impart training, research and capacity building to government, non-government and private sector organisations. Collectively, these organizations have developed early warning systems, standardized response protocols, and increased interagency coordination — all of which form the backbone of India's resilience.

Review of India's Disaster Management Policies

A number of changes have been made to India's disaster management architecture since the early 2000s. The prime minister heads the National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA), which makes policies at the national level and is responsible for their implementation. Sub-national level disaster response is managed by state and district disaster management authorities working closely with local authorities and communities. The National Disaster Response Fund (NDRF) is the lifeline from which disaster response and recovery operations are funded (NDMA, 2021). India's readiness has been enhanced with the acceptance of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (2015-2030), focusing on proactive measures and building resilience. The National Policy on Disaster Management (2009) advocates for mainstreaming of disaster risk reduction in developmental programmes to act as de-risks and promote resilience building.

Assessment of the Effectiveness of Disaster Response and Preparedness

India has considerably improved its disaster response, although it is not without challenges. The capability to respond rapidly depends on alerting, inter-agency collaboration and the availability of resources. Cyclone Fani (2019) response is praised the most as early warning and quick evacuation plan saved thousands of lives (NDMA, 2021). In contrast, Cyclone Amphan (2020) exposed gaps in post-disaster relief and sustained recovery support (UNDRR, 2020). Challenges include integrating climate change adaptation into disaster policies, improving coordination between state and national agencies, and

strengthening relief mechanisms in remote areas. Overlapping responsibilities among local, state, and national authorities have occasionally caused delays in response and recovery. Table 3 introduces core institutional effectiveness metrics for two periods.

Table 3: Institutional Effectiveness Metrics

Disaster Response Indicator	2000-2005	2015-2024	Improvement
Early Warning Lead Time	6-12 hours	24-48 hours	200% increase
Evacuation Capacity	200,000/day	1.2 million/day	500% increase
Response Time	48-72 hours	12-24 hours	67% improvement
Recovery Fund Disbursement	18-24 months	8-12 months	50% improvement

Source: NDMA, India: Voluntary National Review (Midterm) of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 (p. 86). Available at: https://www.preventionweb.net/publication/india-voluntary-national-report-mtr-sf

The reported metrics indicate clear operational gains (warnings, evacuations, response times, and disbursements), yet these aggregate improvements mask variability across events and states. Table 4 compares performance in two recent high-profile cyclones.

Table 4: Comparative Disaster Management Effectiveness

Event	Early Warning Success	Evacuation Rate	Response Time	Primary Challenges
Cyclone Fani (2019)	High	1.2 million (24h)	12 hours	Limited post-disaster resources
Cyclone Amphan (2020)	Moderate	1.5 million (36h)	18 hours	Infrastructure damage, coordination gaps

Sources: UNDRR - Regional Office for Asia & Pacific, 2019; WMO, 2020

NDMA coordinates with 35 state authorities and 640 district authorities, but coordination challenges persist. Reports indicate that ~30% of districts experience inadequate resource allocation, while ~25% face communication delays during emergencies, highlighting the need for stronger operational integration at all levels (NDMA).

Case Studies of Major Disasters

Indian Ocean Tsunami, 2004

The 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami remains one of the most devastating natural disasters in modern history, significantly affecting India (Ayele, 2014) [3]. Triggered by a massive earthquake off Sumatra on December 26, 2004, the tsunami caused an estimated 275,000 deaths globally, including over 16,000 in India (NDMA, 2021). Affected states included Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands.

- **Human Impacts:** Thousands of lives were lost, with massive injuries and destruction of homes. Tamil Nadu saw over 150,000 people affected, while the Andaman and Nicobar Islands experienced the highest mortality, with entire villages destroyed. Survivors faced long-term psychological trauma.
- **Economic Impacts:** Infrastructure, housing, and livelihoods suffered extensively. Tourism declined sharply due to the damage to resorts, and agriculture was affected by saltwater intrusion. Total economic losses were estimated at approximately $8 billion USD (EM-DAT).
- **Social Impacts:** The disaster caused large-scale displacement, with families losing homes and communities disrupted. Survivors struggled with long-term social and emotional trauma (Bhadra & Dyer, 2022) [6].
- **Recovery Efforts:** The government and military coordinated rescue operations and reconstruction. Efforts focused on restoring tourism and rebuilding infrastructure. Lessons emphasized the need for resilient infrastructure, better land-use planning, and climate adaptation strategies (NDMA, 2021).

Uttarakhand Floods, 2013

In June 2013, heavy rainfall triggered catastrophic floods in Uttarakhand, particularly affecting the Kedarnath region and Himalayan foothills (Tariyal, 2017) [35]. Landslides, flash floods, and mudslides caused widespread devastation.

- **Human Consequences:** The 2013 North India floods caused over 6,000 deaths, with thousands injured or missing. More than 4,500 villages were affected, 25,000 homes destroyed, and religious tourism severely disrupted, stranding hundreds of thousands of pilgrims. Rescue operations by the Indian Army, Air Force, and paramilitary forces saved over 110,000 people (Wikipedia, 2013).
- **Economic Impacts**: The 2013 Uttarakhand floods caused extensive economic losses, with damage to roads, bridges, power lines, homes, and the agricultural sector. Tourism alone suffered an estimated $1 billion loss, while total economic losses exceeded $3.8 billion USD. The disaster highlighted the need for resilient infrastructure, improved early warning systems, and targeted recovery strategies (World Bank, 2014).
- **Recovery Process:** The challenging terrain and logistical constraints slowed recovery efforts in Uttarakhand. Nevertheless, the Indian government, supported by military units, coordinated rescue operations and reconstruction activities. A major focus was on restoring the tourism sector, a critical contributor to the regional economy. The floods highlighted the urgent need for improved infrastructure, strategic land-use planning, and climate change adaptation measures (NDMA, 2021; World Bank, 2014).

COVID-19 Pandemic, 2020

The COVID-19 pandemic of 2020 had profound human, economic, and social consequences in India. By the end of 2020, the country recorded approximately 150,000 deaths, with millions more infected (Singh & Mishra, 2021).

- **Human Impacts:** The healthcare system, particularly in cities like Mumbai and Delhi, was overwhelmed, with hospitals facing shortages of ICU beds, oxygen,

and medical supplies. The nationwide lockdown in March 2020 disrupted livelihoods, especially for migrant workers who were forced to return to rural areas. Isolation, economic stress, and uncertainty significantly increased mental health challenges, including depression, anxiety, and suicide risk (NDMA, 2021).

- **Economic Impacts:** India's GDP contracted by 7.3% in 2020, marking its sharpest decline in decades (World Bank, 2021). Industrial production, supply chains, and the informal sector, employing a large segment of the workforce were heavily disrupted. Key service sectors, including tourism, hospitality, and aviation, faced severe losses, pushing millions into poverty and exacerbating income inequality.
- **Socio-economic and Institutional Responses:** The government implemented stimulus packages, food aid, and cash transfers to support vulnerable populations. A large-scale vaccination campaign was also initiated in 2021. However, the crisis exposed structural weaknesses in public health infrastructure and the vulnerability of marginalized populations, including rural residents, informal workers, and migrants.
- **Lessons Learned:** The pandemic highlighted the need for resilient healthcare systems, robust social safety nets, and strengthened disaster preparedness. It also underscored the importance of collaborative governance, integrating local, state, and national bodies for coordinated responses to large-scale crises (NDMA, 2021; World Bank, 2021).

Pathways to Resilience

Strengthening Disaster Preparedness

In India, disaster preparedness can be significantly enhanced through the integration of emerging technologies such as AI, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and mobile communication systems, which improve early warning systems and response coordination (NDMA, 2021; UNDRR, 2020; Hanspal & Behera, 2024) [20]. AI-based predictive models, for instance, can increase forecast accuracy and optimize resource allocation during disasters. Key recommendations include:

- **Multi-Hazard Early Warning Systems:** Integrating satellite imagery, IoT sensors, and community-based monitoring can provide lead times of up to 72 hours for disaster alerts, as demonstrated during the 2018 Kerala floods and Cyclone Fani in 2019 (NDMA, 2021; Hanspal & Behera, 2025) [21].
- **Community-Based Alert Networks:** Mobile-based alert systems reaching 95% of at-risk populations in local languages can improve rapid response and inclusivity (Bhadra & Dyer, 2022) [6].
- **Predictive Analytics Integration:** Machine learning models that analyze weather, geological, and social vulnerability data can significantly improve the accuracy and timeliness of disaster predictions, supporting better preparedness and resource allocation (Giwa & Fakokunde, 2024) [18].
- **Capacity Building Expansion:** Training programs for responders must scale up beyond current levels of 125,000 personnel annually to ensure preparedness across all regions (Behera & Hanspal, 2024; NDMA, 2021) [21].

Economic Resilience Enhancement

Economic resilience depends on robust financial protection mechanisms and resilient infrastructure:

- **Financial Protection Mechanisms:** Expanding insurance coverage through subsidized micro-insurance for farmers and informal workers, coupled with catastrophe risk pools, strengthens economic recovery. Integrating AI and GIS tools improves risk assessment and resource allocation.
- **Resilient Infrastructure Investment:** Strategic investments in flood- and earthquake-resistant infrastructure, including hospitals, schools, and transport networks, improve long-term resilience. Digital tools such as satellite-based damage assessments accelerate recovery (World Bank, 2021).

Social Resilience Strengthening

Empowering communities and protecting vulnerable populations are crucial for mitigating disaster impacts.

- **Community Empowerment Programs:** Training local leaders and women as disaster managers, while integrating traditional knowledge, enhances preparedness and localized response (Jaiswal *et al*., 2022) [23].
- **Vulnerable Population Protection:** Targeted cash transfers, mobile health services, and telemedicine-based mental health support ensure timely aid for marginalized groups. Mental health interventions can reach affected communities within 24 hours.

Technology Integration for Resilience

Harnessing digital technologies improves efficiency and decision-making in disaster management:

- **AI-Enhanced Disaster Management:** Machine learning and predictive analytics optimize resource allocation and real-time damage assessment, reducing response times in cyclone and flood events.
- **Digital Infrastructure Development:** Blockchain-based relief distribution and resilient communication networks improve transparency and effectiveness of humanitarian aid (Adediran *et al*., 2024) [2].

Policy and Institutional Strengthening

Effective disaster management requires strong policy frameworks, inter-agency coordination, and integration of climate change adaptation

- **Disaster Management Framework Enhancement:** Coordinated command centers, state-national resource pooling, and AI-enabled decision support systems enhance response efficiency.
- **Climate Change Integration:** Updating building codes and implementing ecosystem-based adaptation strategies reduce vulnerabilities to future climate-related disasters.

Financing Resilience Building

- **Financial Investment:** Strengthening disaster management in India requires sustained financial investment, particularly in infrastructure and technology systems, to enhance preparedness, response, and recovery capabilities.
- **Funding Mechanisms:** A diversified funding strategy—including government allocations,

international climate finance, and private sector partnerships—is essential. Technology integration can optimize resource allocation and improve the cost-efficiency of disaster preparedness and recovery efforts.

Conclusion

This chapter demonstrates that disasters in India between 2000 and 2024 have caused profound human, economic, and social impacts. More than 220,000 lives were lost, over 200 million people were affected, and economic damages exceeded USD 100 billion, with floods, cyclones, and earthquakes accounting for the majority of losses. Disadvantaged groups, including rural communities, women, children and the elderly were disproportionately more at risk of shock exposure, drawing attention to pre-existing inequities on disaster impact and recovery. India's disaster management system is stronger than in the past with better early warning systems and greater ability to evacuate people, but there are still challenges around recovery, funding and co-ordination. Addressing these requires four key strategies: (i) enhancing early warning and preparedness using technology and community networks, (ii) developing resilient infrastructure and financial protection mechanisms, (iii) empowering vulnerable communities to serve as first responders, and (iv) integrating climate adaptation measures into development policies. He central tenet: A move from reactive relief to proactive resilience can slash disaster losses. With consistent political will, investment and community vitality building measures, India can change its status from a disaster-prone country to an example in global resilience.

References

1. Ankalkoti P, D D. Challenges and reforms in India's disaster management: a critical evaluation of the role of NDMA. EPRA Int J Res Dev (IJRD). 2025;10(1):1-10. DOI:10.36713/epra20631.
2. Adediran FE, Okunade BA, Daraojimba RE, Adewusi OE, Odulaja BA, Igbokwe JC. Blockchain for social good: a review of applications in humanitarian aid and social initiatives. Int J Sci Res Archive. 2024;11(1):1203-1216.
3. Ayele S. The Indian Ocean tsunami, 10 years on: lessons from the response and ongoing humanitarian funding challenges. Oxford: Oxfam International; 2014.
4. Bhattacharyya S. Between cities and borders: environmental migration and urban mobility in the GBM Delta. London: Routledge; 2024.
5. Bonanno GA, Brewin CR, Kaniasty K, Greca AML. Weighing the costs of disaster: consequences, risks, and resilience in individuals, families, and communities. Psychol Sci Public Interest. 2010;11(1):1-49.
6. Bhadra S, Dyer AR. Resilience and well-being among the survivors of natural disasters and conflicts. In: Handbook of health and well-being: challenges, strategies and future trends. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2022. p. 637-667.
7. Chatterjee R, Das LK, Dabral A. Stressors of disaster-induced displacement and migration in India. In: Urban Ecology. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2020. p. 71-89.
8. Cvetković VM, Renner R, Jakovljević V. Industrial disasters and hazards: from causes to consequences—a holistic approach to resilience. Int J Disaster Risk Manag. 2024;6(2):149-168.
9. Charak A, Ravi K, Verma A. Review of various climate change exacerbated natural hazards in India and consequential socioeconomic vulnerabilities. IDRiM J. 2024;13(2):142-177.
10. Das S. Mapping displacement in India: the cases of development and climate disasters. Living Weather Clim Change Ecol Displacement South Asia. 2023;17:1-22.
11. Dakua T, Manisha M, Ahamad V, Das P, Das K. Natural disasters and internal displaced population in India: an analysis from IDMC data. Man India. 2023;103:65-81.
12. Deshpande RS. Disaster management in India: are we fully equipped? J Soc Econ Dev. 2022;24(Suppl 1):242-281.
13. Dhar Chakrabarti PG. Understanding existing methodologies for allocating and tracking DRR resources in India. Bangkok: UNISDR & ADPC; 2012.
14. Dave RK. Disaster management in India: challenges and strategies. New Delhi: National Book Trust; 2017.
15. EM-DAT. International disaster database. Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters; 2025.
16. Jang S, Ekyalongo Y, Kim H. Systematic review of displacement and health impact from natural disasters in Southeast Asia. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2021;15(1):105-114.
17. Gangadhar K. A study on socio-economic conditions of disaster victims in Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh. Indian J Appl Res. 2020;10(6):1-4. DOI:10.36106/ijar/6018578.
18. Giwa YA, Fakokunde A. Predictive modeling for disaster management. Int J Disaster Risk Sci. 2024;15(2):145-160.
19. Hanspal MS, Behera B. Role of emerging technology in disaster management in India: an overview. Int J Disaster Risk Manag. 2024;6(2):133-148.
20. Hanspal MS, Behera B. The Disaster Management Act, 2005: a critical review. DME J Law. 2024;5(1):42-53.
21. Hanspal MS, Behera B. Disaster management laws in India: past, present, and future directions. Int J Disaster Risk Manag. 2025;7(1):115-136.
22. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Special report on climate change and disasters. Geneva: IPCC; 2021.
23. Jaiswal A, Kumar A, Pal I, Raisinghani B, Bhoraniya TH. Sustainable management of coastal critical infrastructure: case study of multi-purpose cyclone shelters in South Asia. Int J Disaster Resilience Built Environ. 2022;13(3):304-326.
24. Kar N, Samantaray NN, Kar S, Kar B. Anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress a month after 2019 cyclone Fani in Odisha, India. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2022;16(2):670-677.
25. Kristian I, Ikhsan OMF. Integrating community-based approaches into national disaster management policies: lessons from recent natural disasters. Int J Law Rev State Adm. 2024;2(4):115-125.
26. Mall RK, Kumar R, Bhatla R. Climate change and disaster in India. J South Asian Disaster Stud. 2011;4(1):27-76.
27. Mohanty A, Dubey A, Singh RB. Cyclonic disasters and resilience. Singapore: Springer; 2022.
28. Nirula A. Assessment of early warning system for floods in Uttarakhand: a case study approach [doctoral

dissertation]. New Delhi: TERI University; 2017.
29. Patel SK, Agrawal G, Mathew B. Understanding the resilience and mental health impacts of natural disasters in India: a narrative review. Int J Popul Stud. 2020;6(1):82-98.
30. Panwar V, Sen S, Shaw R. Introducing proactive sovereign disaster risk financing in India: potentials and challenges. Int J Disaster Risk Reduct. 2022;70:102760.
31. Rajan SR. Risk, disaster, and vulnerability: an essay on humanity and environmental catastrophe. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2023.
32. Ridley M, Rao G, Schilbach F, Patel V. Poverty, depression, and anxiety: causal evidence and mechanisms. Science. 2020;370(6522):eaay0214.
33. Sönmez D, Hocaoglu C. Post-traumatic stress disorder after natural disasters: a review. Duzce Med J. 2023;25(2):103-114.
34. Subramani T, Yoganandham G. Navigating India's disaster landscape: socio-economic impacts, social capital, and technological choices in disaster management for poverty alleviation and climate resilience. Degrés. 2024;9(3):1-18.
35. Tariyal K. Climatic fluctuations in Uttarakhand Himalayan region and resulting impacts: a review. Arch Agric Environ Sci. 2017;2(2):124-128.
36. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. Disaster risk reduction and vulnerable groups: a global overview. Geneva: UNDRR; 2020.
37. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. Displacement and migration in India: challenges post-disasters. Geneva: UNHCR; 2020.
38. World Bank. Urbanization and migration: impact of disasters on cities in India. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2018.
39. World Bank. Cyclone Amphan and its economic impact on the affected regions. Washington (DC): World Bank Group; 2020.