INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF POLITICAL SCIENCE AND GOVERNANCE

Heena
Maharaja Sayajirao University, Department of Political Science, Pratapgunj, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

Rebuilding lives: An in-depth analysis of care policies in post-conflict societies

Heena

Abstract
Conflicts, rooted in political, religious, and cultural differences, pose enduring challenges, worsen by issues like poor governance and poverty. Post-conflict reconstruction is crucial for healing societal fractures, extending beyond physical rebuilding to address governance and justice issues. This paper examines the complexities of reconstruction, likening it to resolving a monumental dispute. It emphasizes the need for meticulous restoration of physical and societal structures, with governments and external stakeholders playing pivotal roles. Despite challenges, reconstruction offers hope for positive transformation, highlighting the resilience of communities and their potential for growth. Through analysis and case studies, this paper aims to contribute to sustainable reconstruction efforts, facilitating a more peaceful future for conflict-affected societies

Keywords: Post-conflict reconstruction, care policies, healthcare, economic stability, political stability, governance.

Introduction

Conflicts, whether rooted in political, religious, or cultural differences or exacerbated by issues such as poor governance, corruption, and poverty, have been persistent challenges throughout history, causing extensive harm to societies. In contemporary times, the complexity of conflicts has increased, with factors like climate change contributing to disagreements. The aftermath of such conflicts extends beyond physical damage, affecting the fabric of societies and making life challenging for everyone involved. Post-conflict reconstruction emerges as a crucial process aimed at repairing the damage caused during conflicts and fostering positive change. It goes beyond rebuilding infrastructure to address broader issues such as governance, fairness, and justice. Post-conflict reconstruction is comparable to resolving a large-scale dispute, involving not only rectifying physical destruction but also understanding root causes, preventing recurrence, and laying the foundation for a more harmonious future. This global effort encompasses diverse aspects, including job creation, infrastructure repair, and ensuring equal opportunities for all. The challenges lie in prioritizing immediate needs and gaining consensus on these priorities. Conflicts are more than fights; they resemble catastrophic events disrupting the lives of entire communities. The aftermath extends beyond physical damage to affect social, economic, and political systems. Post-conflict societies face the daunting task of restoring trust, rebuilding infrastructure, and re-establishing effective governance. The consequences are enduring, impacting how societies function on various levels. The United Nations recognizes post-conflict situations as disasters, acknowledging the complexity involved in their recovery. Post-conflict reconstruction involves a meticulous plan to restore not only physical structures but also essential systems that sustain societies. Governments and external entities play a pivotal role, employing diverse approaches such as healthcare, education, and social support to rebuild communities. Addressing economic challenges, establishing fair rules, and rebuilding essential services and legal systems become paramount for regions grappling with intense conflicts. Despite the considerable damage conflicts inflict, the aftermath offers a unique opportunity for hope and positive transformation. Post-conflict reconstruction serves as a platform for rebuilding not just the physical aspects of a nation but also its social, economic, and political foundations.

Corresponding Author:
Heena
Maharaja Sayajirao University, Department of Political Science, Pratapgunj, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

Despite the complexities involved, the period following a conflict presents a chance to create a better, more peaceful future, emphasizing the resilience of communities and their potential for recovery and growth.

Significance of care policies in post-conflict recovery

Post-conflict recovery is a delicate phase where the aftermath of war can give rise to new challenges, potentially jeopardizing the stability of entire regions. To ensure lasting peace, promote economic development, save lives, and mitigate transnational threats, the United States and the international community have devised a comprehensive strategy to enhance post-conflict reconstruction capacity. The primary objective of Post-Conflict Reconstruction (PCR) interventions is to rebuild shattered livelihoods and prevent communities from relapsing into conflicts. This intervention is crucial for transforming a failed state into a new entity that addresses various aspects of life, including social, political, economic, and cultural dimensions. Reconstruction in a post-conflict context involves a multifaceted process that aims to rebuild and address development needs while being sensitive to the post-crisis issues of political economy, security, gender, and justice. One key aspect is the reduction of political and economic risks through PCR interventions, which can attract new investments and expedite growth. Moreover, PCR serves as a mechanism for rebuilding social capital, particularly through community-based reconstruction initiatives. It represents an innovative approach to solving development issues while concurrently reducing the risk of reverting to conflict. The significance of care policies in post-conflict recovery cannot be overstated. These policies play a pivotal role in the transformation of post-war environments, contributing to stability and preventing the resurgence of conflict. By addressing social, political, economic, and cultural aspects of life, care policies are integral to the overall success of post-conflict reconstruction efforts. Additionally, they serve as a means to foster community resilience, rebuild social bonds, and minimize the risk of relapse into conflict. As a strategic intervention, care policies not only support the rebuilding of physical infrastructure but also actively contribute to the restoration of essential social structures, ensuring a more sustainable and harmonious future for post-conflict societies.

Health in post-conflict recovery

In the aftermath of conflicts, the provision of healthcare faces significant challenges that impact both affected populations and humanitarian workers. The conventional spaces for delivering aid have diminished due to political differences and perceptions of foreign interference, resulting in increased insecurity and hindering the delivery of essential health services. With conflicts escalating globally, over a billion people grapple with uncertain lives, many forced to leave their homes, and countries recovering from conflicts, often deemed fragile, struggle in various aspects, particularly healthcare. The consequences extend to health risks for vulnerable groups, such as mothers and children, even as direct violence diminishes. Unfortunately, there is insufficient knowledge on strengthening health systems in these challenging post-conflict situations. The current healthcare approach in conflict zones relies on an outdated model from the Cold War era that fails to address the complexities of contemporary conflicts. Conflicts

themselves have evolved, dispersing populations into urban areas and introducing new challenges like chronic diseases. To overcome these challenges, interventions must expand in non-camp settings, systematically address chronic diseases, and find innovative ways to provide health services in urban areas. Rebuilding health systems after conflicts presents a tough choice between continuing unsustainable emergency relief programs and building or rebuilding the pre-conflict health system. Widespread destruction poses unique challenges, including displacement, trauma, and political instability, necessitating international and local collaboration. Balancing short- and long-term goals is challenging, and the shift from international to local ownership is vital for sustainability. Each post-conflict period is unique, with specific challenges requiring input from local groups. However, contextual factors often get overlooked, complicating the situation. The post-conflict period begins when the fighting stops, marked by an interim government providing emergency health services. As stability increases, the focus shifts to rebuilding the health system, a process that takes years. The goal is to restore it to pre-conflict functionality, but the journey is slow and faces unexpected challenges. Disruptions to healthcare during conflicts pose challenges even with existing protections under international humanitarian law (IHL). A human rights approach emphasizing health standards and civil rights is crucial, yet IHL gaps leave non-conflict situations unaddressed. A comprehensive healthcare policy framework extending beyond IHL is essential for equitable post-conflict access. The conflict has far-reaching effects on public health, causing direct harm, worsening existing health issues, and disrupting infrastructure. Mental health repercussions are profound and enduring, affecting individuals and subsequent generations. The impact on mothers correlates with the mental health of their children, emphasizing the need for comprehensive mental health interventions. The management of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) is severely affected, demanding specific healthcare policies. The war in Syria is an example that illustrates severe healthcare impacts, emphasizing the need for responsive healthcare policies in conflict settings. In summary, healthcare in post-conflict recovery requires a holistic approach, addressing physical and mental health, non-communicable diseases, and the details of rebuilding health systems in diverse post-conflict contexts. Policies must adapt to the changing nature of conflicts, ensuring equitable access to healthcare for those who need it.

Economic Stability in Post-Conflict Reconstruction

Post-conflict reconstruction (PCR) seeks to achieve economic development with a strategic approach connecting reconstruction efforts to long-term development goals. The success of interventions supporting production and guided by clear development policies lies in their ability to lead to sustainable economic solutions. While post-conflict countries may experience initial high growth due to reconstruction investments, sustaining this growth demands a reduction in economic and political risks through a well-defined vision for infrastructure reconstruction. The development of infrastructure is crucial in addressing critical challenges such as poverty and rebuilding livelihoods in post-conflict societies. However, a potential risk involves economic activities concentrated in developed areas, potentially exacerbating divisions and tensions. Equitable distribution of reconstruction benefits is essential

to prevent the re-emergence of conflict, given historical inequalities often act as root causes of violence. Additionally, it is imperative to consider environmental consequences in post-conflict infrastructure projects to avoid unintended damage and ensure sustainability. Infrastructure's role in building social capital after the conflict is noteworthy, emphasizing community participation and providing an alternative to centralized government approaches. Challenges and risks include the potential for exploitation, increased violence, and the seizure of lands by dominant groups, contributing to tension and insecurity. Corruption, often prevalent in post-conflict settings, is heightened by PCR activities, reinforcing the power of elites and increasing vulnerability. The imposition of Western ideals, particularly emphasizing a market economy and demand-driven growth, is another challenge that may inadvertently increase vulnerability and inequality in post-conflict societies. Balancing economic development with social equity, environmental considerations, and preventing corruption and exploitation is essential for fostering sustainable recovery and long-term stability in post-conflict regions.

In essence, economic stability in post-conflict reconstruction requires a comprehensive and context-sensitive approach that addresses the unique challenges of each situation. Balancing economic development with social equity, environmental considerations, and the prevention of corruption and exploitation is essential for fostering sustainable recovery and long-term stability.

Political stability

Political stability is a critical component of post-conflict reconstruction, and the role of effective governance cannot be overstated in the pursuit of reducing violence and establishing stability after civil wars. Good governance serves as a linchpin in addressing the fundamental needs of people, acting as a bulwark against the desperation that often underpins violence. Moreover, it acts as a catalyst for development, fostering an environment that can restore trust in the government, thereby making violence less necessary or viable. Post-Conflict Peace building (PCPB) assumes the role of rebuilding the trust between a government and its people after a conflict. This complicated process encompasses providing security, ensuring well-being, and representation. However, the challenge lies in expediting a process that typically takes an extended period. PCPB aims to draw lessons from history, applying successful strategies to rebuild stable orders. Yet, when restructuring security sectors, particularly armed forces, a nuanced understanding of the unique dynamics of each situation becomes imperative. Power-sharing emerges as a commonly recommended policy for societies recovering from conflict, evident in various regions globally. The fundamental idea is straightforward: Involving major conflicting parties in the political system increases their stake in its stability and proper functioning. However, the effectiveness of power-sharing arrangements, especially those based on elite pacts, remains a point of contention, with scholars highlighting potential flaws and questioning their ability to genuinely promote intergroup reconciliation. Rwanda provides an illustrative case study where political space is shaped by historical factors, internal dynamics, and a heavy dependence on international aid. Elections, while promoted as signs of democratic progress, raise concerns about

fairness, with the ruling party maintaining dominance through legislation and tactics that restrict genuine political competition. The concept of "political space" itself is dynamic and contested, influenced by government actions, perceptions, and the role of civil society. In post-genocide Rwanda, self-censorship and control measures create an atmosphere of fear and uncertainty, discouraging open dissent. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, post-civil war state-building encountered challenges stemming from ethnic and political divisions. Despite these obstacles, the country achieved successful defense reform, particularly in unifying its military under state-level command. The pursuit of European Union (EU) membership played a pivotal role in influencing the political landscape and facilitating changes in the defense structure. In essence, the relationship between political stability and effective governance unfolds against the backdrop of unique historical, cultural, and geopolitical dynamics in post-conflict scenarios. The pursuit of stability and peace requires a careful calibration of strategies, with an understanding that one size does not fit all. The cases of Rwanda and Bosnia and Herzegovina underscore the complexity of these processes, where the nuances of power dynamics, historical legacies, and international influences meet in shaping the root of post-conflict political landscapes.

Education in Conflict-Affected Areas

Globally, approximately 59 million children face significant barriers to accessing education, with over half of them residing in areas marred by conflicts. These children encounter elevated risks of not reaching school age, attending fewer classes, and struggling to receive a quality education. The impact of education wars is enduring, persisting long after conflicts cease. Regions labeled as "post-conflict" continue to grapple with educational challenges, with the term "conflict-affected" preferred for countries where weak governance and unfair resource distribution impede children's lives and education. Efforts to provide education in conflict-affected areas trace back to World War II and have evolved into a policy-focused field since the mid-1990s. Global coordination involving UN agencies, NGOs, and countries has emerged, driven by a universal rights-based framework that emphasizes protecting children in conflict, ensuring global access to education, and setting normative standards. The right to education in conflict zones, rooted in post-World War II international agreements emphasizing non-discrimination and accessibility, is prioritized by major organizations like UNHCR and UNICEF. Recognizing the protective function of schools, education was integrated into the Department of International Protection of UNHCR. Normative standards, such as the Minimum Standards for Education established by the Inter-Agency Network for Education in Emergencies (INEE), guide policies. Recent developments include a 2010 UN resolution emphasizing the right to education in emergencies, the 2012 Education First Initiative, and the 2013 Global Partnership for Education Fragile States Policy. The "New Deal" on Aid Effectiveness in 2011 further supported commitments to invest in conflict-affected areas. However, education policies in conflict zones often emphasize access over quality. For instance, strategies like USAID's focus on getting children into school but may neglect the quality of education, resulting in poor learning outcomes. Global policies may not align well with local

realities, reinforcing inequalities and worsening conflict conditions. An example is the integration of refugee children into Ugandan schools, where the focus on logistical and economic aspects neglected language adaptation and social dynamics, reinforcing disparities and impeding effective educational interventions. Addressing the educational challenges in conflict zones requires a comprehensive approach that considers both access and quality, aligns with local realities, and ensures that education becomes a catalyst for post-conflict recovery and societal development.

Rwanda
Rwanda's journey through deep-rooted problems, civil war, and the tragic 1994 genocide laid the foundation for a complex post-conflict era. Challenges persisted as forced displacement and conflicts over land ownership arose, prompting government policies guided by the Arusha Accords. Donors, however, grappled with conflicting views on the Rwandan government, its dominance, and human rights. Hesitancy to advocate for immediate elections characterized the global push for democratic governance after conflicts. This cautious approach, influenced by the government's concerns about potential violence and ethnic division, contrasted sharply with the early 1990s' pressure for multiparty elections. Donors faced a dilemma, supporting short-term stability but raising concerns about inadvertently strengthening an authoritarian regime. Limited direct financial aid prompted many to channel assistance through multilateral institutions and NGOs, raising questions about long-term social dynamics. Post-genocide, the international community focused on rebuilding Rwanda's justice system, investing in legal professionals' training, and supporting genocide trials. Progress was evident, but challenges like slow trials and concerns about justice quality lingered. The system's exclusive focus on genocide crimes raised ethical questions, and reconciliation's impact remained uncertain despite concerted efforts. Hotels in Rwanda played diverse roles during the civil war and genocide, serving as planning hubs or safe havens. Post-conflict, the tourism sector rebounded swiftly due to national park staff efforts, aid funding, and returning donors' investments. The restructuring of the Rwanda Office of Tourism and National Parks in 2001, coupled with a new tourism strategy, propelled growth. Privatization facilitated facility improvements, and international partnerships enhanced Rwanda's positive image. Tourism surpassed traditional exports, becoming a major economic contributor. Tourism emerged as a vital tool for peacebuilding, contributing to reconciliation, justice, and socio-economic development. Inclusive business models, monetary donations, and initiatives like the Saby Inyo Silverback Lodge showcased the sector's positive impact. However, challenges included fair profit-sharing, systematic revenue sharing, and the need for better implementation mechanisms. Tourism's economic success, creating over 400,000 jobs, and contributing to local communities, emphasized its role in peacebuilding. Yet, challenges like unequal benefits distribution and a lack of policies for equal opportunities persisted. Former poachers turned trekking porters exemplified positive conservation and community support efforts. However, a shortage of trained professionals raised concerns about potential setbacks for local communities. Rwanda's post-civil war public administration reforms centralized hiring processes, focused on merit-based recruitment, and raised concerns about loyalty requirements. Skilled individuals returning from the diaspora and President Kagame's authority in appointing officials contributed to the administrative structure's effectiveness. While criticisms exist, Rwanda's departure from the pre-war regime minimized institutional vacuums, enhancing overall administrative effectiveness.

Liberia
Liberia faced major problems after a long and brutal civil war that ended in 2003. This war had severe effects on the economy, healthcare, education, and infrastructure of the country. The healthcare system, in particular, suffered, with only a few health facilities working, mostly managed by non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and religious groups. There was a shortage of doctors and healthcare workers, and the education system was in shambles, leaving many children without access to education. The economy took a hit, with a significant drop in income, high unemployment rates, and the government's financial system collapsing. By 2010, Liberia was dealing with a massive debt. To recover, the government, under President Ellen Johnson Sirleaf, implemented ambitious plans. These included the Poverty Reduction Strategy (PRS) and the 2007 National Health Policy (NHP), which aimed to revitalize the health system. They also introduced the Basic Package of Health Services (BPHS) and created the Health Sector Pool Fund to secure funding for health initiatives. By 2010, Liberia had made progress. The GDP grew, and a significant portion of the country's debt was canceled. The health system improved, with more functioning facilities and a rise in healthcare workers. However, challenges remained, such as uneven access to healthcare and high out-of-pocket expenses. The impact of the conflict on Liberia's people was clear, but efforts in economic and health system development led to better health indicators. Life expectancy increased, and infant mortality decreased. However, challenges persisted, especially in maternal health and addressing chronic diseases like mental health issues. Recognizing the need for a flexible response, the 2011 National Health Policy Plan (NHPP) introduced a Primary Health Care (PHC) approach. The Health Sector Pool Fund played a crucial role in financing health initiatives. Despite its effectiveness, there were limitations, such as a lack of civil society participation and minimal contributions from the U.S. government. Liberia's experience provides insights for other low-income countries. Efforts to address mental health challenges were prioritized, leading to policy changes and funding through the Health Sector Pool Fund. Challenges remained, emphasizing the importance of flexible financing, community engagement, and learning from different diseases for sustainable health systems. Rebuilding Liberia after the conflict involves not just national but also local efforts. At the national level, there is a need to strengthen leadership, ethics, and transparency. Local communities should be empowered through training in governance, decision-making, and skills development. Economic recovery, social reform, and effective governance are crucial, with security and development recognized as interconnected for long-term stability. Liberia's experience serves as a valuable case study, highlighting the importance of a holistic and collaborative approach to achieving lasting peace and prosperity.

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Bosnia and Herzegovina have been recovering since a war that began in 1992 and ended in 1995. The conflict, triggered by a vote for independence, caused a lot of harm, with many casualties and people forced to leave their homes. The country has been working hard to rebuild things like roads and buildings, improve its political and economic systems, and make social services better.

The breakup of the Soviet Union and less support for Yugoslavia led to Croatia and Slovenia becoming independent in the early 1990s. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, tensions between different ethnic groups increased, and this resulted in a vote for independence in 1992. However, Bosnian Serbs disagreed, leading to a complicated war involving Bosnia, Croats, and Bosnian Serbs. The international community, including the United Nations, tried to deal with the conflict. Despite challenges, an agreement called the Dayton Agreement was reached in 1995, dividing the country into two parts: The Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina and Respublika Srpska. This agreement focused on quickly recovering by promoting social mobility, economic revival, and political stability. They created power-sharing institutions and worked on improving public health and education. In the health sector, they made progress in rebuilding hospitals and clinics, and health services got better. Despite challenges, people started living longer, more individuals had access to healthcare, and fewer babies were dying. The education system had problems like not having enough money and damaged schools, but most people could still read and write. They tried to shift the focus of education to sciences and engineering. Although Bosnia and Herzegovina still face difficulties, the recovery after the war has made society healthier, improved healthcare services, and advanced education. The lessons learned emphasize the importance of dealing with the main reasons for the conflict by making comprehensive efforts in politics, economics, and society. Keeping up efforts for peace and ongoing social programs have played a big role in making the country stable and recovering in the long run, showing how important public health and education are for future development goals. To sum up, achieving stability after conflicts in a region is a complex task that involves carefully planned steps. It includes talking to the conflicting parties, making comprehensive peace agreements, increasing government presence in vulnerable areas, and working with both local and international forces. Measures like fighting corruption, involving former fighters in governing processes, and building strong institutions are crucial for long-term stability. Political stability is intricately connected to economic stability. This means creating a secure environment for investments, adapting to changes in the economy, and prioritizing the repair of infrastructure. Using local resources and taking advantage of natural and human resources further helps the economy. Improving public health is essential for long-lasting peace. This includes improving infrastructure, concentrating resources in deprived areas, supporting healthcare workers, and implementing measures to reduce mortality rates. Having a strong and accessible education system is also crucial. This involves improving infrastructure, hiring qualified staff, encouraging parents, implementing policies to enroll more girls, and adjusting the curriculum to match economic needs. While we can learn valuable lessons from successful cases like Bosnia and Herzegovina, it's crucial to adapt these strategies to fit specific situations. Insights gained from these experiences can guide future efforts in countries like Haiti and Colombia. Additionally, investing in infrastructure is vital to attract private investors and maintain economic growth. External help in infrastructure can bring both positive and negative impacts, creating growth opportunities but also potential divisions. Balancing the development of both physical and institutional infrastructure is crucial for establishing a market economy and building strong community relationships. Although much research has explored the challenges and nature of post-conflict reconstruction, there's still a gap in understanding the actual impact of these reconstruction efforts, highlighting the need for further research in this area.

Conclusion

Conflicts, whether rooted in political, religious, or cultural differences or exacerbated by issues such as poor governance, corruption, and poverty, have been persistent challenges throughout history, causing extensive harm to societies. In contemporary times, the complexity of conflicts has increased, with factors like climate change contributing to disagreements. The aftermath of such conflicts extends beyond physical damage, affecting the fabric of societies and making life challenging for everyone involved. Post-conflict reconstruction emerges as a crucial process aimed at repairing the damage caused during conflicts and fostering positive change. It goes beyond rebuilding infrastructure to address broader issues such as governance, fairness, and justice. Post-conflict reconstruction is comparable to resolving a large-scale dispute, involving not only rectifying physical destruction but also understanding root causes, preventing recurrence, and laying the foundation for a more harmonious future. This global effort encompasses diverse aspects, including job creation, infrastructure repair, and ensuring equal opportunities for all. The challenges lie in prioritizing immediate needs and gaining consensus on these priorities. Conflicts are more than fights; they resemble catastrophic events disrupting the lives of entire communities. The aftermath extends beyond physical damage to affect social, economic, and political systems. Post-conflict societies face the daunting task of restoring trust, rebuilding infrastructure, and reestablishing effective governance. The consequences are enduring, impacting how societies function on various levels. The United Nations recognizes post-conflict situations as disasters, acknowledging the complexity involved in their recovery. Post-conflict reconstruction involves a meticulous plan to restore not only physical structures but also essential systems that sustain societies. Governments and external entities play a pivotal role, employing diverse approaches such as healthcare, education, and social support to rebuild communities. Addressing economic challenges, establishing fair rules, and rebuilding essential services and legal systems become paramount for regions grappling with intense conflicts. Despite the considerable damage conflicts inflict, the aftermath offers a unique opportunity for hope and positive transformation. Post-conflict reconstruction serves as a platform for rebuilding not just the physical aspects of a nation but also its social, economic, and political foundations. Despite the complexities involved, the period following a conflict presents a chance to create a better, more peaceful future, emphasizing the resilience of

communities and their potential for recovery and growth.

References

1. Anderlini SN, El-Bushra J, editors. Inclusive security, sustainable peace: A toolkit for advocacy and action. Post-conflict reconstruction. London: International Alert; c2004. p. 51-68.
2. Alluri RM. The role of tourism in post-conflict peacebuilding in Rwanda; c2009.
3. Barakat S, Zyck SA. State building and post-conflict demilitarization: military downsizing in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Contemp Secur Policy. 2009;30(3):548-572.
4. Beswick D. Managing dissent in a post-genocide environment: The challenge of political space in Rwanda. Dev Change. 2010;41(2):225-251.
5. Cammett M, Malesky E. Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Societies: Implications for Peace and Governance. J Conflict Resolut. 2009;56. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002711421593.
6. Coles E. The Importance of Education Systems in Post-Conflict Settings: The Case of Bosnia-Herzegovina (BiH); c2011.
7. del Castillo G. Rebuilding the War-Torn States: The Challenge of Post-Conflict Economic Reconstruction. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199237739.001.0001
8. Dryden-Peterson S. Policies for Education in Conflict and Post-Conflict Reconstruction. In: The handbook of global education policy; c2016. p. 189-205.
9. Ebo A. The challenges and opportunities of security sector reform in post-conflict Liberia; c2005.
10. Filipov F. Post-conflict peacebuilding: strategies and lessons from Bosnia and Herzegovina, El Salvador, and Sierra Leone. ECLAC; c2006.
11. Gariba EB. Post-conflict development in Liberia: Governance, security, capacity building and a developmental approach. Afr J Conflict Resolut. 2011;11(2):105-132.
12. Garry S, Checchi F, Cislaghi B. What influenced the provision of non-communicable disease healthcare in the Syrian conflict, from policy to implementation? Conflict Health. 2018;12(1):1-10.
13. Ibrahim Ahmed Elbadawi. Postconflict Transitions: An Overview. World Bank Econ Rev. 2008;22(1):1–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhn002
14. Lee PT, Kruse GR, Chan BT, Massaquoi MB, Panjabi RR, Dahn BT, Gwenigale WT. An analysis of Liberia's 2007 national health policy: Lessons for health systems strengthening and chronic disease care in poor, post-conflict countries. Globalization Health. 2011;7:1-14.
15. Musahara H, Huggins C. Land reform, land scarcity, and post-conflict reconstruction: A case study of Rwanda. From the ground up: Land rights, conflict and peace in Sub-Saharan Africa. 2005. p. 314, 16.
16. Petit D, Sondorp E, Mayhew S, Roura M, Roberts B. Implementing a Basic Package of Health Services in post-conflict Liberia: Perceptions of key stakeholders. Soc Sci Med. 2013;78:42-49.
17. Sabey C. Implementation of mental health reform and policy in post-conflict countries: The case of post-genocide Rwanda [dissertation]. Ottawa: Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa; c2019.
18. Sakalasuriya M, Haigh R, Amaratunga P. The consequences of post-conflict reconstruction: A review of the literature; c2016.
19. Spencer Rutherford, Shadi Saleh, Rebuilding health post-conflict: Case studies, reflections and a revised framework. Health Policy Plan. 2019;34(3):230–245. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czz018
20. Spiegel PB, Checchi F, Colombo S, Paik E. Health-care needs of people affected by conflict: Future trends and changing frameworks. Lancet. 2010;375(9711):341-345.
21. Waters H, Garrett B, Burnham G. Rehabilitating health systems in post-conflict situations. In: Making peace work: The challenges of social and economic reconstruction. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK; c2009. p. 200-227.